UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,422	09/26/2006	Akira Kitano	160-513	3248
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 10/08/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	CARTER, MICHAEL W		
AKLINGTON,	ARLINGTON, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/594,422	KITANO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		MICHAEL CARTER	2828			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 Ju</u>	na 2000				
· ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
′=	, -					
۵,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-21</u> is/are pending in the ap	nolication				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9, 11-21</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
		ciccion requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 14-19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US PG Pub 2004/0124500 (Kawagoe) in view of JP Patent 5-190980 (Norihiro) and further in view of US Patent 5,138,626 (Yap).
- 3. **For claims 1-4**, Kawagoe teaches, a nitride semiconductor laser element comprising: a semiconductor stacked structure including a semiconductor layer of a first conductivity type (figure 1, labels 103-106), an active layer (figure 1, label 107) and a semiconductor layer of a second conductivity type (figure 1, labels 188-111), which are stacked one upon the other and each comprises a nitride (paragraph 28); a striped waveguide region for a laser light provided on the semiconductor layer of the second conductivity type (figure 1, label 111).
- 4. Kawage does not teach an insulative region, formed by implanting ions, for reducing the capacitance of the element, wherein a pn-junction of the semiconductor layer at a peripheral region remote from the waveguide region is broken the insulative region being present remote from at least a portion of an external edge of the semiconductor stacked structure when viewed in plan, said external edge being remote from the striped waveguide region.
- 5. However, Norihiro teaches an insulative region (figure 1, label 8), formed by implanting ions, for reducing the capacitance of the element, wherein a pn-junction of

the semiconductor layer at a peripheral region remote from the waveguide region is broken (figure 1 and paragraphs 5-6).

- 6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the insulating region taught by Norihiro with Kawagoe's laser in order to increase the speed of the laser.
- 7. The combination does not teach the insulative region only being formed remote from an external edge of the semiconductor stacked structure when viewed in plan, said external edge being remote from the striped waveguide region.
- 8. However, Yap does teach the insulative region only being formed remote from an external edge of the semiconductor stacked structure when viewed in plan, said external edge being remote from the striped waveguide region (figure 5c implant region 54 and 56 do not extend to the right edge of the device) in order to place electrode 64 on the top surface of the laser (column 7, lines 51-57).
- 9. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the insulative region only remote from an external edge of the semiconductor stacked structure when viewed in plan, said external edge being remote from the striped waveguide region as taught by Yap in order to place the lower electrode on the top surface of the laser of the previous combination.
- 10. **For claim 4**, Kawagoe, Norihiro and Yap are applied as to claims 1-3. Further, Kawagoe teaches a substrate (figure 1, label 101), an embedded insulation film covering a side face of the waveguide region and a surface of the semiconductor layer of the second conductivity type (figure 1, label 162), a first electrode in contact with a

surface of the waveguide region (figure 1, label 120), a protective insulation film covering at least a part of the embedded insulation film (figure 1, label 164), a second electrode substantially connected to the semiconductor layer of the first conductivity type (figure 1, label 121).

- 11. **For claim 6**, the combination does not teach the insulative region for reducing the capacitance of the element has a peak of distribution of the impurity concentration in the depth direction in the range from 200 nm to 1 µm from the surface of the semiconductor layer of the second conductivity type.
- 12. However, it has been held that discovering a workable range involves only routine skill in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to discover the workable range for the depth of implantation in order to form the insulative region as discussed for claim 1.
- 13. **For claim 7**, the combination teaches the first electrode is formed in contact with the surface of the waveguide region so as to cover a part of the embedded insulation film (figure 1), a pad electrode is formed in contact with the first electrode so as to cover a part of the protective insulation film (figure 1, label 122), and the insulative region for reducing the capacitance of the element includes a region below the embedded insulation film (figure1 of both Kawage and Norihiro).
- 14. **For claim 8,** the combination is applied as to claim 7. Further, the combination teaches the insulation region for reducing the capacitance of the element includes at least the first electrode or a region below the pad electrode (figure1 of both Kawage and Norihiro). The combination further teaches the first electrode (Kawagoe, figure 1, label

Art Unit: 2828

120 and Norihiro, figure 1, label 9) is formed in contact with the surface of the waveguide region (Kawagoe, figure 1, label 111) so as to cover a part of the embedded insulation pad (Kawagoe, figure 1, label 162) a pad electrode is formed in contact with the first electrode so as to cover a part of the protective insulation film (figure 1, label 122) and the insulative region for reducing the capacitance of the element includes a region below the first electrode or the pad electrode embedded insulation film (figure 1 of both Kawagoe and Norihiro).

Page 5

- 15. For claim 9, Kawagoe teaches the semiconductor laser element is a laser element for emitting bluish-purple light (paragraphs 2 and 5).
- 16. The combination does not teach the responsiveness of the laser to input of pulse drive current. However, as discussed for claim 1, the combination does teach speeding up a laser. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to set the responsiveness according to the speed required by an application using the laser, and no special significance is given to a response time of 1 ns.
- 17. Claim 14 is rejected according to the rejection of claims 1 and 9 above
- 18. **For claim 15**, the art is applied according to the rejection of claim 8.
- 19. For claim 16, Norihiro further teaches the insulative region for reducing the capacitance of the element is present remote from a resonance surface of the semiconductor laser. Paragraph [0006], 2) states that O+ ions are injected using the SiO₂ stripe as a mask. The mask covers the center portion of the laser and O+ is therefore deposited down the sides of the mask. Portions of the deposition are remote

Application/Control Number: 10/594,422

Page 6

Art Unit: 2828

from the resonance surface.

20. For claim 17-19 and 21, the prior art does not explicitly teach the semiconductor stacked structure is rectangular when viewed in plan. However, it is a standard design to form a ridge waveguide laser with a rectangular structure when viewed in plan. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a rectangular structure to form an edge emitting device. See for example Nagashima et al. US Patent 6,697,407 (hereinafter referred to as Nagashima) figure 4c. While Nagashima does not show the full structure in plan, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that there is a matching back surface which forms the laser cavity.

- 21. Claim 5 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawagoe, in view of Norihiro and Yap, and further in view of US PG Pub 2001/0006529 (Komori).
- 22. For claim 5, Kawagoe, Norihiro and Yap remain applied as to claim 1.
- 23. The combination does not teach the insulative region for reducing the capacitance of the element has an impurity peak concentration in the range from $1*10^{18}$ to $5*10^{21}$ atms/cm³.
- 24. However, Komori does teach using a concentration 3*10¹⁸ atms/cm³ in order to create a current blocking layer (paragraph 41).
- 25. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to determine the workable range, including 3*10¹⁸ atms/cm³, for impurity concentration in order create a current blocking layer, as discussed for claim 1,

Application/Control Number: 10/594,422

Art Unit: 2828

since it has been held that discovering a workable range only involves routine skill in the art.

Page 7

- 26. Claims 11-13 and 20 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawagoe in view of Yap and further in view of US Patent 6,697,407 (Nagashima).
- 27. **For claim 11**, Kawagoe teaches a nitride semiconductor laser element characterized by comprising: a semiconductor layer of a first conductivity type (figure 1, labels 103-106), an active layer (figure 1, label 107) and a semiconductor layer of a second conductivity type being different from the first conductivity type (figure 1, labels 188-111), which are stacked on a main surface of a substrate and each comprises a nitride (paragraph 28); and a striped waveguide region for a laser light provided on the semiconductor layer of the second conductivity type (figure 1, label 111).
- 28. Kawagoe does not teach wherein at least a part of the semiconductor layer of the second conductivity type serves as a region for reducing the capacitance of the element by being converted into the first conductivity type in a direction of thickness at a peripheral region remote from at least a portion of an external edge remote from the waveguide region.
- 29. However, Nagashima does teach at least a part of the semiconductor layer of the second conductivity type serves as a region for reducing the capacitance of the element by being converted into the first conductivity type in a direction of thickness at a peripheral region remote from the waveguide region (figure 1, label 30) in order to form a current blocking layer (column 10, lines 33-38). Nagashima further teaches the region

Application/Control Number: 10/594,422

Art Unit: 2828

of the second conductivity type (figure 1, label 26) between the regions of the first conductivity type (figure 1, labels 30) shows the region for reducing capacitance is remote from at least a portion of an external edge remote from the waveguide region.

Page 8

- 30. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to convert part of the second type layer in Kawagoe into a first type layer according to Nagashima in order to form a current blocking layer.
- 31. The combination does not teach the region for reducing capacitence of the element only being formed remote from an external edge of the semiconductor stacked structure when viewed in plan, said external edge being remote from the striped waveguide region.
- 32. However, Yap does teach region for reducing capacitence of the element only being formed remote from an external edge of the semiconductor stacked structure when viewed in plan, said external edge being remote from the striped waveguide region (figure 5c implant region 54 and 56 do not extend to the right edge of the device) in order to place electrode 64 on the top surface of the laser (column 7, lines 51-57).
- 33. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the region for reducing capacitence of the element only remote from an external edge of the semiconductor stacked structure when viewed in plan, said external edge being remote from the striped waveguide region as taught by Yap in order to place the lower electrode on the top surface of the laser of the previous combination.

Art Unit: 2828

34. **For claim 12**, Nagashima further teaches an npn structure (figure 1, labels 21, 29, and 30) in the peripheral region remote from the waveguide region, wherein the semiconductor layer of the first conductivity type is an n-type semiconductor layer, and the semiconductor layer of the second conductivity type is a p-type semiconductor layer.

- 35. **For claim 13**, Nagashima further teaches a pnpn structure (figure 1, labels 21, 29, 30, and 31) in the peripheral region remote from the waveguide region, wherein the semiconductor layer of the first conductivity type is an n-type semiconductor layer, and the semiconductor layer of the second conductivity type is a p-type semiconductor layer.
- 36. For claim 20, the prior art does not explicitly teach the semiconductor stacked structure is rectangular when viewed in plan. However, it is a standard design to form a ridge waveguide laser with a rectangular structure when viewed in plan. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a rectangular structure to form an edge emitting device. See for example Nagashima et al. US Patent 6,697,407 (hereinafter referred to as Nagashima) figure 4c. While Nagashima does not show the full structure in plan, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that there is a matching back surface which forms the laser cavity.

Response to Arguments

37. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 and 11-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2828

38. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

- 39. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 40. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL CARTER whose telephone number is (571)270-1872. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00 a.m.-4:30 p.m., EST.
- 41. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2828

42. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MC/

/Minsun Harvey/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2828